

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/707,118	KNICKERBOCKER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	David Mis	2817	

**All Participants:**

- (1) David Mis.  
(2) Jack Friedman.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (3) Mark Friedman.  
(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 June 2005

**Time:** 9:30

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*An examiners' amendment to prevent a rejection in view of Goldberg was proposed.*

**Claims discussed:**

*1 and 11 - the "programmable damping resistor" language.*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Godberg was identified as a potential reference for a potential rejection.*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiners' amendment: change "programmable damping" to --crystal substitution-- proposed by phone on 6/6 and accepted by phone on 6/7. Additional changes to the specification were agreed to on 6/7. The Examiners' Amendment agreed to is attached.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)